

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration of this Application and entry of this Amendment is respectfully requested.

In The office action, dependent claims 11-22 were merely objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but were deemed allowable if rewritten to include all limitations of the base claim (i.e., claim 1).

By the foregoing amendment, a Markush limitation has been added to claim 1 reciting, in the alternative, the limitations of dependent claims 11-22. Claims 11-22 have been cancelled. This amendment is made to place the application in condition for allowance and to expeditiously bring a patent to issuance from this application. By making this amendment, Applicant does not indicate or imply any agreement with or acquiescence in the stated grounds for rejection of the non-allowed claims.

A modified copy of the Form SB08 that accompanied the previously filed IDS will be submitted under separate cover to provide a date of publication for Reference 22 listed thereon. Applicant thanks the Examiner for pointing this out.

### Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, Applicant believes all the pending claims are in condition for allowance and should be passed to issue. Applicant hereby requests a one (1) month extension pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.136. Payment for this extension of time will be made electronically concurrently with filing of this paper. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any underpayment, or to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 50-0878.

Date: April 20, 2012

Respectfully submitted,  
STOUT, UXA, BUYAN & MULLINS, LLP

/Robert D. Buyan/

Robert D. Buyan, Reg. No. 32,460

4 Venture, Suite 300  
Irvine, CA 92618  
Telephone: (949) 450-1750 / Facsimile: (949) 450-1764  
e mail: rbuyan@patlawyers.com